Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/764,783	RODRIGUEZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jehanne S Sitton	1634
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jehanne S Sitton</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Natalie Lawrence</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: NA		,
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
Dehame Sitter		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: THe examiner asked whether Applicants had received an Examiner's Answer as none could be found in the case. Ms. Lawrence indicated that no Examiner's Answer had been received. The examiner asked if a return receipt postcard had been received regarding the Notice of Appeal filed 10/28/2002. Ms. Lawrence indicated that the return post card was received. The examiner asked that the return receipt post card and a copy of the Notice of Appeal be faxed to the examiner.